

## ON THE SPECTRUM OF RINGS OF FUNCTIONS

SOPHIE FRISCH

ABSTRACT. For  $D$  a domain and  $E \subseteq D$ , we investigate the prime spectrum of rings of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ , that is, of rings contained in  $\prod_{e \in E} D$  and containing  $D$ . Among other things, we characterize, when  $M$  is a maximal ideal of finite index in  $D$ , those prime ideals lying above  $M$  which contain the kernel of the canonical map to  $\prod_{e \in E} (D/M)$  as being precisely the prime ideals corresponding to ultrafilters on  $E$ . We give a sufficient condition for when all primes above  $M$  are of this form and thus establish a correspondence to the prime spectra of ultraproducts of residue class rings of  $D$ . As a corollary, we obtain a description using ultrafilters, differing from Chabert's original one which uses elements of the  $M$ -adic completion, of the prime ideals in the ring of integer-valued polynomials  $\text{Int}(D)$  lying above a maximal ideal of finite index.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $D$  be an integral domain,  $E \subseteq D$ , and  $\mathcal{R}$  a subring of  $\prod_{e \in E} D$ , containing  $D$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  can be interpreted as functions from  $E$  to  $D$  and, consequently, we call  $\mathcal{R}$  a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ . We will investigate the prime spectra of such rings of functions. We obtain, for quite general  $\mathcal{R}$ , a partial description of the prime spectrum, cf. Theorems 3.7 and 5.3, and in special cases a complete characterization, cf. Corollary 6.5.

Our motivation is the spectrum of a ring of integer-valued polynomials: For  $D$  an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ , let  $\text{Int}(D) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(D) \subseteq D\}$  be the ring of integer-valued polynomials on  $D$ . More generally, when  $K$  is understood, we let  $\text{Int}(A, B) = \{f \in K[x] \mid f(A) \subseteq B\}$  for  $A, B \subseteq K$ .

If  $D$  is a Noetherian one-dimensional domain, a celebrated theorem of Chabert [1, Ch. V] states that every prime ideal of  $\text{Int}(D)$  lying over a maximal ideal  $M$  of finite index in  $D$  is maximal and of the form

$$M_\alpha = \{f \in \text{Int}(D) \mid f(\alpha) \in \hat{M}\},$$

where  $\alpha$  is an element of the  $M$ -adic completion  $\hat{D}_M$  of  $D$  and  $\hat{M}$  the maximal ideal of  $\hat{D}_M$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13F20; Secondary 13L05, 13B25, 13A15, 13G05, 12L10.

*Key words and phrases*. ultrafilter, integer-valued polynomials, rings of functions, polynomial functions, maximal ideals, prime spectrum, commutative rings, integral domains, products, ultraproducts.

This research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF grant P27816-N26.

In fact, Chabert showed two separate statements independently – both under the assumption that  $D$  is Noetherian and one-dimensional and  $M$  a maximal ideal of finite index of  $D$ :

- (1) Every maximal ideal of  $\text{Int}(D)$  containing  $\text{Int}(D, M)$  is of the form  $M_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \hat{D}_M$ .
- (2) Every maximal ideal of  $\text{Int}(D)$  lying over  $M$  contains  $\text{Int}(D, M)$ .

For a simplified proof of Chabert's result, see [4], Lemma 4.4 and the remark following it.

We will show that a modified version of statement (1) holds in far greater generality, for rings of functions. The modification consists in replacing elements of the  $M$ -adic completion by ultrafilters.

Whether (2) holds or not for a particular  $D$  and a particular subring of  $D^E$  will have to be examined separately. It is, in some sense, a question of density of the subring in the product  $\prod_{e \in E} D$ .

We will work in the following setting:

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $D$  be a commutative ring and  $E \subseteq D$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a commutative ring and  $\varphi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \prod_{e \in E} D$  a monomorphism of rings.  $\varphi$  allows us to interpret the elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  as functions from  $E$  to  $D$ .*

*If all constant functions are contained in  $\varphi(\mathcal{R})$ , we call the pair  $(\mathcal{R}, \varphi)$  a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ . We use  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  (where  $\varphi$  is understood) to denote a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** *For our considerations it is vital that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  contain all constant functions, because we will make extensive use of the following fact: when  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $g \in D[x]$  a polynomial with zero constant term, then  $g(f) \in \mathcal{I}$ , and similarly, if  $g$  is a polynomial in several variables over  $D$  with zero constant term, and an element of  $\mathcal{I}$  is substituted for each variable in  $g$ , then, an element of  $\mathcal{I}$  results.*

Let us note that considerable research has been done on the spectrum of a power of a ring  $D^E = \prod_{d \in E} D$  or a product of rings  $\prod_{e \in E} D_e$ . Gilmer and Heinzer [5, Prop. 2.3] have determined the spectrum of an infinite product of local rings, and Levy, Loustaunau and Shapiro [8] that of an infinite power of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Our focus here is not on the full product of rings, but on comparatively small subrings and the question of how much information about the spectrum of a ring can be obtained from its embedding in a power of a domain.

One ring can be embedded in different products:  $\text{Int}(D)$  can be seen as a ring of functions from  $K$  to  $K$  as well as a ring of functions from  $D$  to  $D$ . We will glean a lot more information about the spectrum of  $\text{Int}(D)$  from the second interpretation than from the first.

## 2. PRIME IDEALS CORRESPONDING TO ULTRAFILTERS

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  be a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$  as in Definition 1.1. We will now make precise the concept of ideals corresponding to ultrafilters, and the connection to ultraproducts  $\prod_{e \in E}^{\mathcal{U}} (D/M)$ , where  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $D$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  an ultrafilter on  $E$ . First a quick review of filters, ultrafilters and ultraproducts:

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a set. A non-empty collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of  $S$  is called a filter on  $S$  if*

- (1)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ .
- (2)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (3)  $A \subseteq C \subseteq S$  with  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $C \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$  is called an ultrafilter on  $S$  if, for every  $C \subseteq S$ , either  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  or  $S \setminus C \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

Let  $S$  be a fixed set and  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  its power-set. For  $C \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ , a *superset* of  $C$  is a set  $D \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  with  $C \subseteq D \subseteq S$ . A collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $S$  is said to have the *finite intersection property* if the intersection of any finitely many members of  $\mathcal{C}$  is non-empty.

**Remark 2.2.** *Clearly, a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$  to be contained in a filter on  $S$  is that  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the finite intersection property. If the finite intersection property is satisfied, then the supersets of finite intersections of members of  $\mathcal{C}$  form a filter.*

Although, strictly speaking, we do not need ultraproducts to prove our results, we will nevertheless introduce them, because they provide context, in particular to Lemma 2.6, and to sections 3 and 5.

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $S$  be an index set and  $\mathcal{U}$  an ultrafilter on  $S$ . Suppose we are given, for each  $s \in S$ , a ring  $R_s$ . Then the ultraproduct of rings  $\prod_{s \in S}^{\mathcal{U}} R_s$  is defined as the direct product  $\prod_{s \in S} R_s$  modulo the congruence relation*

$$(r_s)_{s \in S} \sim (t_s)_{s \in S} \iff \{s \in S \mid r_s = t_s\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Ultraproducts of other algebraic structures are defined analogously. The usefulness of ultraproducts is captured by the Theorem of Łoś (cf. [6, Chpt. 3.2] or [7, Prop 1.6.14]) which states that an ultraproduct  $\prod_{s \in S}^{\mathcal{U}} R_s$  satisfies a first-order formula if and only if the set of indices  $s$  for which  $R_s$  satisfies the formula is in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Here first-order formula means a formula in the first-order language whose only non-logical symbols (apart from the equality sign) are symbols for the algebraic operations; for instance,  $+$  and  $\cdot$  in the case of an ultraproduct of rings.

**Definition 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be a domain,  $E \subseteq D$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions,  $I$  an ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  a filter on  $E$ .*

*For  $f \in \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ , we let  $f^{-1}(I) = \{e \in E \mid f(e) \in I\}$  and define*

$$I_{\mathcal{F}} = \{f \in \mathcal{R}(E, D) \mid f^{-1}(I) \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

**Remark 2.5.** Let everything as in Definition 2.4,  $I, J$  ideals of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$  filters on  $E$ . Some easy consequences of Definition 2.4 are:

- (1) If  $I \neq D$  then  $I_{\mathcal{F}} \neq \mathcal{R}$ .
- (2)  $I_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, I) = \{f \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(E) \subseteq I\}$ .
- (3)  $I \subseteq J \implies I_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq J_{\mathcal{F}}$
- (4)  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \implies I_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq I_{\mathcal{G}}$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $D$  be a domain,  $E \subseteq D$ , and  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ .

Then for every prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$  and every ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ ,  $P_{\mathcal{U}}$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* Easy direct verification: let  $fg \in P_{\mathcal{U}}$ ; because  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $D$ , the inverse image of  $P$  under  $f \cdot g$  is the union of  $f^{-1}(P)$  and  $g^{-1}(P)$ . If the union of two sets is in an ultrafilter, then one of them must be in the ultrafilter. Therefore,  $f \in P_{\mathcal{U}}$  or  $g \in P_{\mathcal{U}}$ . Also,  $P_{\mathcal{U}}$  cannot be all of  $\mathcal{R}$  because it doesn't contain the constant function 1.  $\square$

One way of looking at  $P_{\mathcal{U}}$  is by considering the following commuting diagram of ring-homomorphisms, where  $\pi$  and  $\pi_1$  mean applying the canonical projection in each factor of the product, and  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_1$  mean factoring through the defining congruence relation of an ultraproduct.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \prod_{e \in E} D & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & \prod_{e \in E}^{\mathcal{U}} D \\ & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ & & \prod_{e \in E} (D/P) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \prod_{e \in E}^{\mathcal{U}} (D/P) \end{array}$$

$P_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the kernel of the following composition of ring homomorphisms:

$$\varphi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \prod_{e \in E} D$$

followed by the canonical projection

$$\pi: \prod_{e \in E} D \rightarrow \prod_{e \in E} (D/P)$$

and the canonical projection

$$\sigma: \prod_{e \in E} (D/P) \rightarrow \prod_{e \in E}^{\mathcal{U}} (D/P)$$

Since  $D/P$  is an integral domain, any ultraproduct of copies of  $D/P$  is also an integral domain, by the Theorem of Łoś. Therefore (0) is a prime ideal of  $\prod_{e \in E}^{\mathcal{U}} (D/P)$  and hence  $P_{\mathcal{U}}$  a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ . We also see that  $P_{\mathcal{U}}$  is the inverse

image of a prime ideal of  $\prod_{e \in E} D$  under  $\varphi$ , and further, of a prime ideal of the ultraproduct  $\prod_{e \in E}^{\mathcal{U}} D$  under  $\sigma_1 \circ \varphi$ .

### 3. THE SET OF ZERO-LOCI MOD $M$ OF AN IDEAL OF THE RING OF FUNCTIONS

As before,  $D$  is a domain with quotient field  $K$ ,  $E \subseteq D$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$  as in Def. 1.1. Especially, recall from Def. 1.1 that  $\mathcal{R}$  is assumed to contain all constant functions.

**Definition 3.1.** For  $M \subseteq D$  and  $f \in \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ , let

$$f^{-1}(M) = \{e \in E \mid f(e) \in M\}.$$

For an ideal  $M$  of  $D$  and an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ , let

$$\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I}) = \{f^{-1}(M) \mid f \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

Recall from Def. 2.4 that for a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$ ,

$$M_{\mathcal{F}} = \{f \in \mathcal{R}(E, D) \mid f^{-1}(M) \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

**Remark 3.2.** Note that the above definition implies

- (1)  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \implies \mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{J})$
- (2)  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}} \iff \mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $M$  be an ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (a) There exists a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$  such that  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- (b)  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  satisfies the finite intersection property.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}$ , then  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}$  and hence satisfies the finite intersection property. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  satisfies the finite intersection property then, by Remark 2.2, the supersets of finite intersections of sets in  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  form a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$  for which  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and hence  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $\square$

In the case where  $\mathcal{R}(E, D) = \prod_{e \in E} D$  is the ring of all functions from  $E$  to  $D$ , much more can be said; see the papers by Gilmer and Heinzer [5, Prop. 2.3] (concerning local rings) and Levy, Loustaunau and Shapiro [8] (concerning  $D = \mathbb{Z}$ ).

For a field  $K$  that is not algebraically closed, we will need, for an arbitrary  $n \geq 2$ , an  $n$ -ary form that has no zero but the trivial one. For this purpose, recall how to define a norm form: if  $L : K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional field extension, multiplication by any  $w \in L$  is a  $K$ -endomorphism  $\psi_w$  of  $L$ . For a fixed choice of a  $K$ -basis of  $L$ , map every  $w \in L$  to the determinant of the matrix of  $\psi_w$  with respect to the chosen basis. This mapping, regarded as a function of the coordinates of  $w$  with respect to the chosen basis, is easily seen to be an  $n$ -ary form that has no zero but the trivial one.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  such that  $D/M$  is not algebraically closed. Then for every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  is closed under finite intersections.*

*Proof.* Given  $f, g \in \mathcal{I}$ , we show that there exists  $h \in \mathcal{I}$  with

$$h^{-1}(M) = f^{-1}(M) \cap g^{-1}(M).$$

Consider any finite-dimensional non-trivial field extension of  $D/M$ , and let  $n$  be the degree of the extension. The norm form of this field extension is a homogeneous polynomial in  $n \geq 2$  indeterminates whose only zero in  $(D/M)^n$  is the trivial one. By identifying  $n - 1$  variables, we get a binary form  $\bar{s} \in (D/M)[x, y]$  with no zero in  $(D/M)^2$  other than  $(0, 0)$ . Let  $s \in D[x, y]$  be a binary form that reduces to  $\bar{s}$  when the coefficients are taken mod  $M$ .

Now, given  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , we set  $h = s(f, g)$ . By the fact that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains all constant functions,  $h$  is in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Also,  $h(e) \in M$  if and only if both  $f(e) \in M$  and  $g(e) \in M$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions such that every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes mod  $M$ .*

*Then for every ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  is closed under finite intersections.*

*Proof.* Again, given  $f, g \in \mathcal{I}$ , we show that there exists  $h \in \mathcal{I}$  with

$$h^{-1}(M) = f^{-1}(M) \cap g^{-1}(M).$$

Let  $A, B \subseteq D/M$  be finite sets of residue classes of  $D$  mod  $M$  such that  $f(E)$  is contained in the union of  $A$  and  $g(E)$  in the union of  $B$ .

We can interpolate any function from  $(D/M)^2$  to  $(D/M)$  at any finite set of arguments by a polynomial in  $(D/M)[x, y]$ . Pick  $\bar{s} \in (D/M)[x, y]$  with  $\bar{s}(0, 0) = 0$  and  $\bar{s}(a, b) = 1$  for all  $(a, b) \in (A \times B) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Let  $s \in D[x, y]$  be a polynomial with zero constant coefficient that reduces to  $\bar{s}$  when the coefficients are taken mod  $M$ .

Now, given  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ , we set  $h = s(f, g)$ . By the fact that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains all constant functions,  $h$  is in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Also,  $h(e) \in M$  if and only if both  $f(e) \in M$  and  $g(e) \in M$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  be a ring of functions and  $M$  an ideal of  $D$ . We call  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  an  $M$ -unit-valued function if  $f(e) + M$  is a unit in  $D/M$  for every  $e \in E$ .*

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ . Assume that either  $D/M$  is not algebraically closed or that each function in  $\mathcal{R}$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes mod  $M$ .*

- (1)  $\mathcal{I}$  is contained in an ideal of the form  $M_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$  if and only if  $\mathcal{I}$  contains no  $M$ -unit-valued function.
- (2) Every ideal  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  that is maximal with respect to not containing any  $M$ -unit-valued function is of the form  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  for some ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ .

- (3) *In particular, every maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  that does not contain any  $M$ -unit-valued function is of the form  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  for some ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ .*

*Proof.* Ad (1). If  $\mathcal{I}$  is contained in an ideal of the form  $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$  cannot contain any  $M$ -unit-valued function, because  $\mathcal{F}$  doesn't contain the empty set.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  does not contain any  $M$ -unit-valued function. Then  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$ . By Lemmata 3.4 and 3.5,  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  is closed under finite intersections.  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$ , therefore, satisfies the finite intersection property. By Remark 2.2,  $\mathcal{Z}_M(\mathcal{I})$  is contained in a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$ . For this filter,  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}$ , by Remark 3.2.

Ad (2). Suppose  $\mathcal{Q}$  is maximal with respect to not containing any  $M$ -unit-valued function. By (1),  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some filter  $\mathcal{F}$ . Refine  $\mathcal{F}$  to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, by Remark 2.5,  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  doesn't contain any  $M$ -unit-valued function. Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is maximal with this property,  $\mathcal{Q} = M_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

(3) is a special case of (2). □

#### 4. A DICHOTOMY OF MAXIMAL IDEALS

In what follows,  $D$  is always a domain with quotient field  $K$ ,  $E \subseteq D$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$  as in Def. 1.1. When the interpretation of  $\mathcal{R}$  as a subring of  $\prod_{e \in E} D$  is understood, then for  $M \subseteq D$  we let

$$\mathcal{R}(E, M) = \{f \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(E) \subseteq M\}.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ . Then exactly one of the following two statements holds:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains  $\mathcal{R}(E, M) = \{f \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(E) \subseteq M\}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains an element  $f$  with  $f(e) \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$  for all  $e \in E$ .

*Proof.* The two cases are mutually exclusive, because any ideal  $\mathcal{Q}$  satisfying both statements must contain 1.

Now suppose  $\mathcal{Q}$  does not contain  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{R}(E, M) \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ . By the maximality of  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $1 = h(x)g(x) + f(x)$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{Q}$ . We see that  $f(x) = 1 - h(x)g(x) \in \mathcal{Q}$  satisfies  $f(e) \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$  for all  $e \in E$ . □

Recall that a function  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  is called  $M$ -unit-valued if  $f(e) + M$  is a unit in  $D/M$  for every  $e \in E$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be an ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  an ideal of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (A)  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains an element  $f$  with  $f(e) \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$  for all  $e \in E$ .
- (B)  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains an  $M$ -unit-valued function that takes values in only finitely many residue classes mod  $M$ .

*Proof.* To see that the a priori weaker statement implies the stronger, let  $g \in \mathcal{Q}$  be an  $M$ -unit-valued function taking only finitely many different values mod  $M$ . Let  $d_1, \dots, d_k \in D$  be representatives of the finitely many residue classes mod  $M$  intersecting  $g(E)$  non-trivially, and  $u \in D$  an inverse mod  $M$  of  $(-1)^{k+1}d_1 \cdots d_k$ .

Then

$$h(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (g(x) - d_i) - (-1)^k d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_k$$

is in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and  $h(e) \equiv (-1)^{k+1} d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_k \pmod{M}$  for all  $e \in E$ . Therefore  $f(x) = uh(x) \in \mathcal{Q}$  satisfies  $f(e) \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$  for all  $e \in E$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  a maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ . If each  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes mod  $M$  (in particular, if  $D/M$  happens to be finite) then exactly one of the following statements holds:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains  $\mathcal{R}(E, M) = \{f \in \mathcal{R} \mid f(E) \subseteq M\}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{Q}$  contains an  $M$ -unit-valued function.

*Proof.* This follows directly from Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

The Propositions in this section partition the maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$  into two types: those containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$  (the kernel of the restriction to  $\mathcal{R}$  of the canonical projection  $\pi: \prod_{e \in E} D \rightarrow \prod_{e \in E} (D/M)$ ), and the others.

In some cases, it is known that all maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over  $M$  contain  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ , notably if  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Int}(D)$  and  $M$  is finitely generated and of finite index in  $D$  [1, Ch. V], [4, Lemma 4.4]. We will find a sufficient condition for all maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over  $M$  to contain  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$  in Theorem 6.4.

We must not discount the possibility of a maximal ideal  $\mathcal{Q}$  lying over  $M$  containing an  $M$ -unit-valued function, however. If  $D$  is an infinite domain,  $D[x]$  is embedded in  $D^D$  by mapping every polynomial to the corresponding polynomial function. When  $D/M$  is not algebraically closed, then there are certainly maximal ideals of  $D[x]$  lying over  $M$  that contain polynomials without a zero mod  $M$ .

## 5. PRIME IDEALS CONTAINING $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$

We are now in a position to characterize the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, D)$  as being precisely the ideals of the form  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  for ultrafilters  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ , under the following hypothesis: every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes of  $M$ .

This hypothesis may seem only marginally weaker than the assumption that  $D/M$  is finite. Note however, that it is sometimes satisfied for infinite  $D/M$  under perfectly natural circumstances, for instance, when  $E$  intersects only finitely many residue classes of  $M^n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $E$  precompact), and  $\mathcal{R}$  consists of functions that are uniformly  $M$ -adically continuous.

As in the case of integer-valued polynomials, we can show that every prime ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(E, D)$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$  is maximal under certain conditions, notably if  $D/M$  is finite. The proof for  $\text{Int}(D)$ , when  $D/M$  is finite [1, Lemma V.1.9.], carries over practically without change. Note that Definition 1.1 ensures that every ring



of functions  $\mathcal{R}$  contains all constant functions – an essential requirement of the following proof.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $D$  such that every function in  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes mod  $M$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}$  a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(E, D)$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ . Then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is maximal and  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{Q}$  is isomorphic to  $D/M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{R}(E, D)$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ , and  $A$  a system of representatives of  $D$  mod  $M$ . It suffices to show that  $A$  (viewed as a set of constant functions) is also a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{R}$  mod  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$  the representatives of those residue classes of  $M$  that intersect  $f(E)$  non-trivially. Then  $\prod_{i=1}^r (f - a_i)$  is in  $\mathcal{R}(E, M) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  and,  $\mathcal{Q}$  being prime, one of the factors  $(f - a_i)$  must be in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . This shows that  $f$  is congruent mod  $\mathcal{Q}$  to one of the constant functions  $a_1, \dots, a_r$ , and, in particular, to an element of  $A$ . Therefore,  $A$  is a system of representatives of  $\mathcal{R}(E, D)$  mod  $\mathcal{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions and  $M$  a maximal ideal of  $D$  such that every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

*Then  $\mathcal{I}$  is contained in an ideal of the form  $M_{\mathcal{F}}$  for a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}(E, M) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{R}(E, M) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{I}$  not containing an  $M$ -unit-valued function, by Proposition 4.3. The statement therefore follows from part (1) of Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  a ring of functions, and  $M$  a maximal ideal of  $D$ . If every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  takes values in only finitely many residue classes of  $M$  (and, in particular, if  $D/M$  is finite), then the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$  are exactly the ideals of the form  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  with  $\mathcal{U}$  an ultrafilter on  $E$ . Each of them is maximal and its residue field isomorphic to  $D/M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  containing  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ . By Lemma 5.1,  $\mathcal{Q}$  is maximal and  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{Q}$  is isomorphic to  $D/M$ . By Lemma 5.2,  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $E$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  can be refined to an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ , and then  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq M_{\mathcal{U}} \neq \mathcal{R}$ , by Remark 2.5. Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is maximal,  $\mathcal{Q} = M_{\mathcal{U}}$  follows.

Conversely, every ideal of the form  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  for an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$  is prime, by Lemma 2.6, and contains  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ , by Remark 2.5.  $\square$

Note, in particular, that Theorems 3.7 and 5.3 apply to  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Int}(E, D)$ . In this way, we see, when  $M$  is a maximal ideal of finite index in  $D$ , that prime ideals of  $\text{Int}(E, D)$  containing  $\text{Int}(D, M)$  are inverse images of prime ideals of  $D^E$ , and ultimately come from ultrapowers of  $(D/M)$ , as in the discussion after Lemma 2.6.

## 6. DIVISIBLE RINGS OF FUNCTIONS

Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq D^E$  be a ring of functions and  $M$  a maximal ideal of  $D$ . We have seen that we can describe those maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over  $M$  that contain  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$ . We would like to know under what conditions this holds for every maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over  $M$ .

In the case where  $M$  is a maximal ideal of finite index in a one-dimensional Noetherian domain  $D$ , Chabert showed that every maximal ideal of  $\text{Int}(D)$  lying over  $M$  contains  $\text{Int}(D, M)$ , cf. [1, Prop. V.1.11] and [4, Lemma 3.3]. Once we know this, Theorem 5.3 is applicable. It can be used to give an alternative proof of the fact that every prime ideal of  $\text{Int}(D)$  lying over  $M$  is maximal and of the form  $M_\alpha = \{f \in \text{Int}(D) \mid f(\alpha) \in \hat{M}\}$  for an element  $\alpha$  in the  $M$ -adic completion of  $D$ .

We will now generalize Chabert's argument from integer-valued polynomials to a class of rings of functions which we call divisible. Note that we do not have to restrict ourselves to Noetherian domains; we only require the individual maximal ideal for which we study the primes of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over it to be finitely generated. It is true that our questions only localize well when the domain is Noetherian, but we will pursue a different course, not relying on localization.

**Definition 6.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $E \subseteq R$ . We call a ring of functions  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq R^E$  **divisible** if it has the following property: If  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  is such that  $f(E) \subseteq cR$  for some non-zero  $c \in R$ , then every function  $g \in R^E$  satisfying  $cg(x) = f(x)$  is also in  $\mathcal{R}$ .*

*We call  $\mathcal{R}$  **weakly divisible** if for every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  and every non-zero  $c \in R$  such that  $f(E) \subseteq cR$ , there exists a function  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $cg(x) = f(x)$ .*

If  $R$  is a domain, we note that  $g(x)$  in the above definition is unique and that, therefore, for domains, weakly divisible is equivalent to divisible.

**Example 6.2.** (1)  $\text{Int}(E, D)$  is divisible. - This is our motivation.

(2) If  $D$  is a valuation domain with maximal ideal  $M$  then the ring of uniformly  $M$ -adically continuous functions from  $E$  to  $D$  ( $E \subseteq D$  equipped with subspace topology of  $M$ -adic topology) is a divisible ring of functions.

We now consider minimal prime ideals of non-zero principal ideals, that is,  $P$  containing some  $p \neq 0$  such that there is no prime ideal strictly contained in  $P$  and containing  $p$ . If  $D$  is Noetherian, this condition reduces to " $\text{ht}(P) = 1$ ". In non-Noetherian domains, we find examples with  $\text{ht}(P) > 1$ , for instance, the maximal ideal of a finite-dimensional valuation domain.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $R$  be a domain,  $P$  a finitely generated prime ideal that is a minimal prime of a non-zero principal ideal  $(p) \subseteq P$ . Then there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in R \setminus P$  such that  $sP^m \subseteq pR$ .*

*Proof.* In the localization  $R_P$ ,  $P_P$  is the radical of  $pR_P$ . Therefore, since  $P$  (and hence  $P_P$ ) is finitely generated, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $P_P^m \subseteq pR_P$  and in

particular  $P^m \subseteq pR_P$ . The ideal  $P^m$  is also finitely generated, by  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ , say. Let  $a_i \in R_P$  with  $p_i = pa_i$ . By considering the fractions  $a_i = r_i/s_i$  (with  $r_i \in R$  and  $s_i \in R \setminus P$ ), and setting  $s = s_1 \cdot \dots \cdot s_k$ , we see that  $sP^m \subseteq pR$  as desired.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $D$  be a domain and  $P$  a finitely generated prime ideal that is a minimal prime of a non-zero principal ideal. Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq D^E$  be a divisible ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ . Then every prime ideal  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $\mathcal{Q} \cap D = P$  contains  $\mathcal{R}(E, P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}(E, P)$ . Let  $p \in P$  non-zero and such that there is no prime ideal  $P_1$  with  $(p) \subseteq P_1 \subsetneq P$ . By Lemma 6.3, there are  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s \in D \setminus P$  such that  $sP^m \subseteq pD$ . Then  $sf^m \in \mathcal{R}(E, pD)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is divisible,  $sf^m = pg$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{R}(E, D)$ . Therefore,  $sf^m \in p\mathcal{R}(E, D) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ . As  $\mathcal{Q}$  is prime and  $s \notin \mathcal{Q}$ , we conclude that  $f \in \mathcal{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.5.** *Let  $D$  be a domain,  $M$  a finitely generated maximal ideal of height 1, and  $E$  a subset of  $D$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq D^E$  be a divisible ring of functions from  $E$  to  $D$ , such that each  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  takes its values in only finitely many residue classes of  $M$  in  $D$ .*

*Then the prime ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over  $M$  are precisely the ideals of the form  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  for an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $E$ . Each  $M_{\mathcal{U}}$  is a maximal ideal and its residue field isomorphic to  $D/M$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 6.4 via Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

To summarize, we can, using ultrafilters, describe certain prime ideals of a ring of functions  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(E, D)$  lying over a maximal ideal  $M$  pretty well: namely, those prime ideals that do not contain  $M$ -unit-valued functions (Theorem 3.7), or that contain  $\mathcal{R}(E, M)$  (Theorem 5.3).

We have, so far, little information about when all prime ideals of  $\mathcal{R}$  lying over  $M$  are of this form, apart from the sufficient condition in Theorem 6.4.

If we restrict our attention to rings of functions  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $D[x] \subseteq \mathcal{R}(E, D) \subseteq D^E$ , it would be interesting to find a precise criterion, perhaps involving topological density, for this property.

Note that in the “nicest” case, that of  $\text{Int}(D)$ , where  $D$  is a Dedekind ring with finite residue fields, not only is  $\text{Int}(D, M)$  contained in every prime ideal of  $\text{Int}(D)$  lying over a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$ , but also  $\text{Int}(D)$  is dense in  $D^D$  with product topology of discrete topology on  $D$  [2, 3].

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.-J. CAHEN AND J.-L. CHABERT, *Integer-valued polynomials*, vol. 48 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [2] P.-J. CAHEN, J.-L. CHABERT, AND S. FRISCH, *Interpolation domains*, J. Algebra 225 (2000), 794–803.
- [3] S. FRISCH, *Interpolation by integer-valued polynomials*, J. Algebra 211 (1999), 562–577.

- [4] S. FRISCH, *Integer-valued polynomials on algebras*, J. Algebra 373 (2013), 414–425, Corrigendum: 412 (2014) p282.
- [5] R. GILMER AND W. HEINZER, *Imbeddability of a commutative ring in a finite-dimensional ring*, Manuscripta Math. 84 (1994), 401–414.
- [6] M. GOLDSTERN AND H. JUDAH, *The incompleteness phenomenon*, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995. A new course in mathematical logic, With a foreword by Saharon Shelah.
- [7] P. G. HINMAN, *Fundamentals of mathematical logic*, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2005.
- [8] R. LEVY, P. LOUSTAUNAU, AND J. SHAPIRO, *The prime spectrum of an infinite product of copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$* , Fund. Math. 138 (1991), 155–164.

DEPARTMENT OF ANALYSIS AND NUMBER THEORY (5010), TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT GRAZ,  
KOPERNIKUSGASSE 24, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA

*E-mail address:* frisch@math.tugraz.at